

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/651,440	EBY, WILLIAM H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Russell Kallis.

(2) Kenyon Schuett.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

Claims 20, 23-27 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon reconsideration of claims drawn to F1 progeny made by crossing the deposited line with an unknown parent comprising a transgene of interest in Claims 23-27 and 35, Applicant agreed to cancel Claims 20, 23-27 and 35 and acknowledged new claims drawn to the allowed cultivar comprising a transgene of interest..